

M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme

Statement of Commonality
TR010063 - APP 8.1

Regulation 5 (2) (q)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8
December 2023

Infrastructure Planning Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme Development Consent Order 202[x]

8.1 Statement of Commonality

| | |
|---|---|
| Regulation Number: | Regulation 5(2)(q) |
| Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference | TR010063 |
| Application Document Reference | TR010063 – APP 8.1 |
| Author: | M5 Junction 10 Improvements Scheme Project Team |

| Version | Date | Status of Version |
|----------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Rev 0 | December 2023 | DCO Application |
| <u>Rev 1</u> | <u>June 2024</u> | <u>Deadline 1 submission</u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Contents

Chapter Page

| | | |
|-----------|---|-------------|
| 1. | Introduction | 5 |
| 1.1. | Purpose of this Document | 5 |
| 2. | Structure of Statements of Common Ground | 6 |
| 3. | List of Statements of Common Ground | 7 |
| 4. | Summary of current position | 8 |
| 5. | Commonality | 11 |
| 6. | Position as at time of DCO submission | 15 |
| 6.2. | Joint Councils | 15 |
| 6.3. | Natural England | 15 |
| 6.4. | Historic England | 16 |
| 6.5. | National Highways | <u>1647</u> |
| 6.6. | Environment Agency | <u>1748</u> |
| 6.7. | North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes | <u>1749</u> |
| 6.8. | West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midland Land Portfolio ('MLP') & Cheltenham Borough Council | <u>1820</u> |
| 6.9. | Safeguarded Site adjacent M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes | <u>1820</u> |

Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 3-1 – List of Parties entered into an SoCG with the Applicant | 7 |
| Table 4-1 – High Level Position of SoCGs | 9 |
| Table 5-1 – Table of Commonality at time of DCO submission | 12 |

Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 5-1 -Commonality Colour Categorisation | 11 |
|---|----|

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose of this Document

1.1.1. This Statement of Commonality for Statements of Common Ground (this 'Statement') relates to an application made by Gloucestershire County Council (GCC) (the 'Applicant') to the Planning Inspectorate under Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (the 'Act') for a Development Consent Order (a 'DCO'). If made, the DCO would grant consent for the Applicant to construct the M5 Junction 10 Improvements scheme (the 'Scheme'). A detailed description of the Scheme can be found in the Environmental Statement (the 'ES') Chapters 1-4 (~~TR010063 - APP 6.2~~ APP-060 - 063).

1.1.2. This Statement has been prepared to provide the ~~e~~Examining ~~A~~uthority (the "ExA") with a summary of the current position at the time of submission of the DCO.

~~4.1.2.1.1.3.~~ This Statement provides a current position on the commonality on specific points between SoCG's at Examination Deadline 1 on 18 June 2024.

~~This Statement will be revised and submitted to the ExA on each occasion that the updated Statements of Common Ground ("SoCG") are submitted in accordance with the deadlines set out by the ExA.~~

2. Structure of Statements of Common Ground

- 2.1.1. The SoCGs have been structured in a generally consistent form and set out those matters which are agreed, the matters subject to further discussion and those matters which are not agreed. Each SoCG has been tailored according to the approach agreed with the interested party concerned.
- 2.1.2. Each SoCG has the following structure:
- Section 1: Introduces the SoCG and provides a description of its purpose.
 - Section 2: Outlines the engagement that has taken place with the interested party.
 - Section 3 sets out the topics discussed in the SoCG
 - Sections 3 and 4: sets out any issues that have arisen, reporting on the status of each issue, i.e., whether it is agreed, still under discussion or not agreed and any remaining actions.
- 2.1.3. Where relevant, documents that are referenced in the SoCG, but do not form part of the application, have been appended to the SoCG, to draw the ExA's attention to further relevant information.
- 2.1.4. Each SoCG includes a list of topics, unless the relevant parties consider that there are no issues to address on a particular topic.

3. List of Statements of Common Ground

3.1.1. The Applicant has prepared SoCGs with a number of parties during the preparation of the DCO application. This includes organisations with which the Applicant has a statutory duty to consult with, covered under section 42 of the Planning Act 2008. It also includes other organisations which have an interest in the Scheme and with whom the Applicant has formally consulted.

3.1.2. The parties with which the Applicant has prepared an SoCG are listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 – List of Parties entered into an SoCG with the Applicant

| Party |
|--|
| Local Authorities (as defined under section 42 (1)(b) of the Planning Act 2008) |
| 1. The “Joint Councils” – comprising Gloucestershire County Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, and Tewkesbury Borough Council. |
| Prescribed Consultees (as defined under section 42 (1)(a) of the Planning Act 2008) |
| 2. National Highways |
| 3. Environment Agency |
| 4. Natural England |
| 5. Historic England |
| Other Interested Parties |
| 6. North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor and Persimmon Homes |
| 7. Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes |
| 8. West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midland Land Portfolio (“MLP”) and Cheltenham Borough Council |

4. Summary of current position

4.1.1. This section provides the current position in respect of each SoCG. The draft SoCGs have been submitted alongside this Statement of Commonality.

4.1.2. ~~Table 4-1~~ **Table 4-1** provides a high-level position and where necessary includes further detail to aid the ExA's understanding. The high-level positions used in the table are:

- **Agreed with no outstanding matters** – The final SoCG has been agreed by both parties and either all matters are agreed, or some matters are agreed but there are matters which are not agreed and which will not be resolved during examination. Where matters are not agreed, the SoCG provides reasons for the disagreement between the parties.
- **Agreed SoCG with matters outstanding** – An interim SoCG has been agreed by both parties, but there remain matters outstanding that the Applicant and the interested parties will seek to resolve during the remainder of the examination. Where matters are outstanding, the SoCG indicates the steps which the Applicant (and, where appropriate, the interested party) will take in order to seek to resolve them.
- **SoCG in draft with matters outstanding** – An SoCG has been drafted by the Applicant, has been shared with the interested party and some comments have been provided in response although the draft is not yet in agreed form. The Applicant anticipates being in a position to provide an agreed form of the SoCG with these parties by the final deadline, if not sooner where this may be of assistance to the ExA.

Table 4-1 – High Level Position of SoCGs





| Document Reference | Party/ Parties | Position at time of DCO Submission | Position at Deadline 4 18 June 2024 18 June 2024 | Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024 9 July 2024 |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Local Authorities | | | | |
| TR010063 – APP 8.2 APP-146 | Joint Councils | SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>Following a series of specialist meetings, the Joint Councils and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with matters agreed and matters still outstanding. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.</u> <u>Updated revision provided with D1 documents.</u> <u>Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.</u> | |
| Prescribed Consultees | | | | |
| TR010063 – APP 8.3 TR010063 – APP-147 | <u>National Highways</u> <u>National Highways</u> | <u>SoCG in draft with matters outstanding</u> SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>National Highways and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.</u> <u>Updated revision provided with D1 documents.</u> <u>Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.</u> | |
| TR010063 – APP 8.4 TR010063 – APP-148 | <u>Environment Agency</u> <u>Environment Agency</u> | <u>SoCG in draft with matters outstanding</u> <u>SoCG in draft with matters outstanding</u> | <u>The Environment Agency and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.</u> <u>Updated revision provided with D1 documents.</u> <u>Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.</u> | |

| Document Reference | Party/ Parties | Position at time of DCO Submission | Position at Deadline 4 18 June 2024 18 June 2024 | Position at Deadline 2 9 July 2024 9 July 2024 |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| TR010063 - APP 8.5 APP-149 | Natural England | Agreed with no matters outstanding Agreed with no matters outstanding | <u>Natural England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with all matters now agreed.</u> <u>Final SoCG provided with D1 documents as all matters now agreed.</u> | |
| TR010063 - APP 8.6 APP-150 | Historic England | SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>Historic England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.</u> <u>Updated revision provided with D1 documents.</u> <u>Further iteration to be submitted to the Examination at an agreed deadline between parties.</u> | |
| Other Interested Parties | | | | |
| TR010063 - APP 8.7 APP-151 | North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor and Persimmon Homes | SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet.</u> <u>The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.</u> | |
| TR010063 - APP 8.8 APP-152 | Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes | SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet.</u> <u>The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.</u> | |
| TR010063 - APP 8.9 APP-153 | West Cheltenham Golden Valley – MLP and Cheltenham Borough Council | SoCG in draft with matters outstanding | <u>No revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet.</u> <u>The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.</u> | |

5. Commonality

- 5.1.1. This section of the Statement provides a summary of principal issues covered in each of the SoCGs and demonstrates where there is commonality in the topics or matters.
- 5.1.2. Table 5-1 is presented in such a way to show topics covered within the various SoCGs, how these relate to each other interested party and a colour categorization for each topic. The colour categories are illustrated in [Figure 5-1](#) and seek to provide a high-level summary of matters, and do not necessarily indicate that all matters within the topic are either agreed or not agreed:

Figure 5-1 -Commonality Colour Categorisation

| | |
|---|---|
|  | There is broad agreement on specific matters within this general topic area. |
|  | Matters within this topic area are subject to further discussion. |
|  | There is a general disagreement between the parties within this general topic area. |
|  | Matter is not relevant to interested party / not included in SoCG. |

- 5.1.3. Where a matter is not relevant to an interested party, it is not included within the SoCG and therefore not covered in Table 5-1, where it is shown as blue.

Table 5-1 – Table of Commonality at time of ~~DCO submission~~ Deadline 1 submission

| Party | Topic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| | Principle of Development | Statutory Consultation | Assessment of Alternatives | Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water Environment | Landscape and Visual | Geology and Soils | Cultural Heritage | Materials and Waste | Population and Human Health | Climate | Assessment of Cumulative Effects | Engineering Design | Draft Development Consent Order | Land | Environmental Management Plan | Traffic and Transport | Funding | |
| Joint Councils | Yellow | Green | Green | Yellow | Green | Green | Yellow | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Yellow | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |
| National Highways | Yellow | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Yellow | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Yellow | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |
| Environment Agency | Yellow | Yellow | Green | Green | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Green | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Green | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue |

| Party | Topic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Funding | Traffic and Traffic and Transport | Environmental Management Plan | Land | Draft Development Consent Order | Engineering Design | Assessment of Cumulative Effects | Climate | Population and Human Health | Materials and Waste | Cultural Heritage | Geology and Soils | Landscape and Visual | Road Drainage and Water Environment | Biodiversity | Noise and Vibration | Air Quality | Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology | Assessment of Alternatives | Statutory Consultation | Principle of Development | |
| Natural England | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Historic England | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Party | Topic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| | Principle of Development | Statutory Consultation | Assessment of Alternatives | Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Biodiversity | Road Drainage and Water Environment | Landscape and Visual | Geology and Soils | Cultural Heritage | Materials and Waste | Population and Human Health | Climate | Assessment of Cumulative Effects | Engineering Design | Draft Development Consent Order | Land | Environmental Management Plan | Traffic and Transport | Funding | |
| North West Cheltenham Elms Park – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes | Yellow | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow |
| Safeguarded Site adjacent to M5 J10 – Bloor Homes | Yellow | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow |
| West Cheltenham Golden Valley – MLP & Cheltenham Borough Council | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow |

6. Position as at time of ~~Deadline 1~~ (~~18 June 2024~~) Deadline 1 (18 June 2024)

6.1.1. This section provides a summary of the position between the Applicant, and each interested party as at the time of ~~Deadline 1~~ Deadline 1. This section will be updated at each deadline when the revised SoCGs will be submitted, to explain what has changed since the previous iteration. The individual SoCGs should be referred to for further detail on specific matters.

6.2. Joint Councils

~~6.2.1. Since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021, a series of regular meetings have been held with the Joint Councils.~~

~~6.2.2. Following a series of specialist meetings, the Joint Councils and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG with matters agreed and matters still outstanding.~~

~~6.2.3. The principal matters outstanding relate to Scheme's integration with active travel opportunities, illumination of the underpass, further details relating to transport assessment, design at West Cheltenham Fire Station and the methodology for developer contributions.~~

~~6.2.4. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.~~

~~6.2.1. The majority of matters raised are outstanding because they require further detail contained within the ES before agreement can be formalised.~~

~~6.2.2. The issues identified within the SoCG as being 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed with the Joint Councils throughout the remainder of the examination and the Applicant is confident that a number of the issues currently identified as matters outstanding will become 'agreed' matters before the end of examination. There are no principal matters of disagreement.~~

6.3. Natural England

~~6.3.1. There are no matters outstanding with Natural England as all agreed. There have been a series of virtual meetings and email exchanges with Natural England since June 2021 which has enabled several matters to be agreed with Natural England on the Scheme.~~

~~6.3.1.~~

~~6.3.2. A key concern raised by Natural England relates to the Applicants approach to bat licensing; principally around how gaps in bat roost data have been addressed, and the mitigation and compensation approach set out in the draft bat license. Atkins, the Applicant's principal designer, has produced a Compensatory Bat Roost document, which has been sent to Natural England for comment.~~

~~6.3.3.6.3.2. In terms of matters agreed, Natural England are in agreement with the methodology within the ES, and information/the approach taken with the dormice and badger. Letters of No Impediment have been agreed with Natural England and submitted with the updated SoCG at Deadline 1. It is anticipated that the remaining 'matters outstanding' will be agreed throughout examination.~~

6.4. Historic England

- 6.4.1. ~~Historic England has been consulted on the Scheme since June 2021, with regular email exchanges/meetings taking place.~~
- 6.4.2. ~~Historic England and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG.~~
- 6.4.3. ~~The principal matters outstanding relates to wanting to review the further iterations of the AMP, amendments to the wording of Requirement 9 and to ensure burial licence in DCO is not disapplied and opportunities to improve Moat House (agreed that this is outside the Scope of the DCO).~~
- 6.4.4. ~~Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.~~
- 6.4.1. ~~Virtual meetings have also taken place with Historic England, which allowed the Applicant to discuss the Scheme and provide Historic England with the opportunity to comment and query certain elements of the Scheme.~~
- 6.4.2. ~~Historic England raised concerns over potential impacts on the Uckington Moated Site (comprising a Scheduled Monument, and Grade II listed buildings), as well as works at Moat Lane Junction. The Applicant have provided responses in the draft SoCG and have agreed several matters relating to the impact of the Scheme on Uckington Moated Site Scheduled Monument following meetings and sharing of draft DCO documentation.~~
- 6.4.3. ~~The principal matters outstanding relate to the impact of the height of the new link road on views from the Moated site, the construction impacts of the Scheme on the setting of heritage assets, and concerns that an Archaeological Management Plan will not be submitted as part of the DCO.~~
- 6.4.4. ~~The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves towards examination.~~

6.5. National Highways

- 6.5.1. Since the preferred route announcement in June 2021 National Highways have been consulted on the Scheme. A meeting was held with National Highways in July 2022 to discuss the approach to the SoCG process given their differing roles on the project. During the preparation of the DCO, National Highways have been a contributor to the Scheme in relation to elements which relate to the Strategic Road Network (SRN). Once the DCO is submitted, National Highways will take on their role as a statutory body for the Scheme.
- 6.5.2. It has been agreed that, in order to avoid conflicts of interest, National Highways will enter into the SoCG process at the point of the submission of the DCO to the Examining Authority.
- 6.5.3. National Highways and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination. Updated revision is provided with Deadline 1 submission.
- 6.5.4. The principal matters outstanding relate to Draft DCO, Articles and Schedules, Carbon Management Plan, Traffic modelling, Funding and Collateral warranties, landscaping maintenance, Approach to land rights acquisition, Liabilities and Reservoir and Ownership
- 6.5.5. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.
- 6.5.2. ~~National Highways has indicated they would like to view a draft SoCG once the draft DCO is submitted.~~

6.6. Environment Agency

~~6.6.1. Since the Preferred Route announcement in June 2021, the applicant has sought to engage with the EA in order to discuss matters raised from the Statutory Consultation. However, engagement opportunities have been limited with one virtual meeting held in January 2023. The Environment Agency and the Applicant have agreed a revised iteration of the SoCG. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.~~

~~6.6.2. The principal matters outstanding with the EA relate to:~~

- ~~• The classification of the Link Road and A4019 works as Essential Infrastructure Assessment of the flood risk sequential tests which is dependent on the Scheme being classed as Essential Infrastructure underin accordance with the NPPF guidance, and hence agreement on their compatibility with flood risk;....~~
- ~~• Review of the 2022 ordinary watercourse model for the watercourses to the southern end of the Link Road including requirement for additional modelling for blockage tests;~~
- ~~• Flood risk during construction in relation to sequencing of construction activities, which is matter for the Contractor at the detailed design stage;~~
- ~~• Groundwater regime around the flood compensation area and potential impacts on flood risk;~~
- ~~• Definition of the extents of Flood Zone 3b in relation to the Link Road through the River Chelt floodplain;~~
- ~~• Impacts to flood risk associated with the flood compensation area and the River Chelt Bridge crossing. The Environment Agency will require final designs prior to agreeing to the Scheme being compliant with Flood Risk guidance and legislation.~~
- ~~• Timing of construction activities for the Leigh Brook culvert extension in relation to fish mitigation; and,~~
- ~~• Designs of bank protection under the Link Road River Chelt Crossing.~~

~~6.6.3. Discussion is ongoing with regard to matters outstanding and the Applicant expects to submit a further iteration of the SoCG to the Examination.~~

~~6.7.~~

~~6.7.1. All issues identified within the draft SoCG remain as 'matters outstanding' from the initial issue of the Preliminary Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for consultation. The principal matters that are outstanding relate to the EIA methodology, the biodiversity and road drainage and water environment particularly impacts on the aquatic environment and design elements relating to the River Chelt.~~

~~6.7.2. Since the initial issue of the SoCG, the relevant ES chapters have been shared with the Environment Agency, however no response has been received from this stakeholder. Currently no matters have been agreed with the Environment Agency. The Applicant will discuss the outstanding matters throughout DCO examination, and it is envisaged that many of these items will be resolved as a result of the information provided by the full suite of DCO documentation.~~

6.8.6.7. North West Cheltenham (Elms Park) – Bloor Homes & Persimmon Homes

~~6.8.1.6.7.1.~~ The developers of Elms Park site have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.

~~6.8.2.6.7.2.~~ Two matters have been agreed, following the issue of non-statutory targeted consultation information. A matter was raised regarding the design of the Scheme and

its connection to the proposed Elms Park site. Alterations to the Scheme design that were being subject to targeted statutory consultation resolved this matter. The other issue raised objection to the Scheme proposals to ban right turn movements at the Tewkesbury Road / Retail Park Junction. This option is no longer part of the Scheme and therefore this matter has been resolved.

~~6.8.3-6.7.3.~~ There are three key matters outstanding which relate to traffic modelling information, design changes to the Scheme which impact site accesses to the Elms Park site, and timing of the Scheme which is conditional on Bloor Homes and Persimmon Homes being able to progress the Elms Park development in a timely manner. The stakeholder wishes to agree a construction phasing plan which has regard to the Elms Park construction programme.

~~6.8.4-6.7.4.~~ Since ~~final drafts of the DCO documentation~~ DCO submission were shared there has been no further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. There has been engagement with the Applicant regarding developer contributions. There is n~~The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves towards examination.~~ o revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.

6.9-6.8. West Cheltenham (Golden Valley) – Midland Land Portfolio ('MLP') & Cheltenham Borough Council

~~6.9.1-6.8.1.~~ The developers of the Golden Valley site (West of Cheltenham), MLP and Cheltenham Borough Council, have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.

~~6.9.2-6.8.2.~~ The stakeholder provided a response to the non-statutory targeted consultation in February 2023. The principal matters outstanding relate to timing of the works and Scheme design in relation to the interface between the Scheme boundaries and the West Cheltenham development site boundaries.

~~6.8.3.~~ Since DCO submission there has been no further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. There is no revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.

~~6.9.3.~~ Since the final drafts of the DCO documentation were shared, there has been no further engagement received from the stakeholder in relation to the draft SoCG. The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves towards examination.

6.10-6.9. Safeguarded Site adjacent M5 Junction 10 – Bloor Homes

~~6.10.1-6.9.1.~~ The developers of Elms Park site have been formally consulted on the Scheme since the Preferred Route Announcement in June 2021. A draft SoCG was shared with them in May 2023 along with draft DCO documents.

~~6.9.2.~~ The principal matters outstanding relate to the principle of development, funding, and Scheme design in relation to the location of access to the safeguarded land.

~~6.9.3.~~ Since DCO submission there has been no further engagement with these stakeholders on the draft SoCG. There has been engagement with the Applicant regarding developer contributions. There is no revision to the SoCG submitted with the DCO application as yet. The Applicant is looking to advance SoCG to see what can be agreed and to capture those issues which are in dispute.

~~Since final drafts of the DCO documentation were shared with the stakeholder, there has been no further engagement on the draft SoCG. The issues currently identified as 'matters outstanding' will continue to be discussed and it is envisaged that these items will be agreed as the application moves towards examination.~~

ATKINS

Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

5th Floor, Block 5
Shire Hall
Bearland
Gloucester
GL1 2TH

Tel: +44 (0) 8000 514 514